Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, in 1993, Boris Yeltsin fell off a stage in Germany. In 1994, Boris could not get off his plane in Ireland. In 1996, Boris came up missing for 7 consecutive days, unexplained, before an election. In 1997, he forgot about a meeting with Vice President AL GORE. Yesterday, he fired his entire cabinet. The White House says they are monitoring it.

Mr. Speaker, is Boris Yeltsin a victim of El Nino, too? Let us tell it like it is. This guy is not exactly the head of Kiwanis International. Boris Yeltsin has his shaky little finger on the button of one of the world's most massive nuclear arsenals.

I say monitor this, Boris Yeltsin does not need monitors. Boris Yeltsin needs Alcoholics Anonymous. I say let us save our foreign aid and let us send some counselors over to take care of this guy. I yield back 1 day at a time the balance my time.

THE OVRETTE PROGRAM IN HONDURAS: A VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

(Mr. PITTS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to share another tragic story of human rights violations abroad, this time in the country of Honduras.

For more than 34 years and with millions of dollars, women of Honduras have been victims of an overzealous population control movement. They have been subjected to sterilizations and mass contraceptive pill distribution without caution or required exams or information, funded entirely by U.S. taxpayers.

Mr. Speaker, now we find that these Honduran women have been the subjects of a human experiment, this time with the Ovrette contraceptive pill, which has been used without any information about its potential side effects to the women taking the pill.

Instead of warning women that the effects of the pill were undetermined and that it should not be taken while breast-feeding, the USAID-led effort chose to strongly push the use of the pill among the women. At the same time, the government decided to monitor unsuspecting women to see what the effects of Ovrette might be.

To make matters worse, while this was going on, Ovrette was not even registered with the proper authorities, as is the law.

Mr. Speaker, this would not take place in America. It should stop in Honduras.

THE MORAL DEFICIT

(Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, in 1993 when I decided to run for

Congress, there were many reasons why I felt I should get involved in the political campaign. One of the main reasons was my concern over the national debt and deficit spending. My wife and I did not want to see our two children faced with a mountain of debt that would eventually destroy their future.

Now, just 5 years later, it is with a lot of relief and thankfulness that Congress has been able to balance the Federal budget. But today we are faced with a problem that is even greater and more destructive than runaway debt.

My children and the children of this Nation are faced with a society that is experiencing a moral deficit. Eighty-four percent of the American people say their biggest concern is the decline in the traditional moral values.

Mr. Speaker, if we give our children the richest economy in the world but a society that is morally bankrupt, what have we gained? Some would say, but it is the economy, stupid. But I disagree, because good economies come and go, but for a Nation to survive as history has proven over and over again, patriotism, courage, fidelity, honesty, and public and personal character must be the foundation on which it stands.

ELIMINATE THE MARRIAGE PENALTY TAX

(Mr. HEFLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, since 1969, the Federal tax code has penalized 21 million couples annually, not for getting divorced, not for having children out of wedlock, not for shacking up, but for getting married.

When a couple gets married, they are taxed at a higher rate than if they were still single or divorced. The marriage penalty for the average couple is \$1,400. Now this may not seem like much to some, but with an additional \$1,400, an average couple could pay the electric bill for 9 months, pay for 3 or 4 months of day care, pay for a 5-day vacation at Disneyland, pay four or five payments on their minivan, eat out 35 times, purchase 1,053 gallons of gas, and purchase 1.228 loaves of bread.

It is immoral that our tax code discriminates against marriage. We have a tax code that discourages marriage and encourages divorce. Reforming a tax code will restore equity by ensuring that working couples are treated no differently when they get married than they were before.

THE JASON PROJECT

(Mr. FARR of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FARR of California. Mr. Speaker, I stand here before you recognizing that at last night's Oscars the Titanic swept away with 11 awards. It is a fitting occurrence because this is the

year of the oceans. Right now, something more exciting is happening across this country and around the world than anything that was ever put on the big screen. That is what is going on in our classrooms around the United States called the Jason project.

It was started by the man, Bob Ballard, who found the Titanic. He has dedicated his services to science and to education where children at this moment are speaking to scientists that are on the floor of the ocean live. Those scientists are in California and Bermuda, and they are talking back and forth, and students interact with it.

So in this year, the International Year of the Oceans, we have to celebrate that. We also celebrate it, because it is our own money that Congress has put into NOAA and put into the Navy that has helped sponsor this project.

This show goes on all week. And if you are here in the Nation's capital, visit the National Geographic, where the show is live right now. So the Year of the Oceans is get into it. Get into it.

THE OVERWHELMING TAX BURDEN

(Mr. JONES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. JÓNES. Mr. Speaker, we are now just 22 days away from April 15, tax day. As this dreaded day approaches, now, more than ever, Americans are struggling with an unbelievable tax burden.

On top of their already busy daily routine, the citizens of this Nation are having to file through the 8 billion pages of forms and instructions that the IRS sends out each year. Laid end to end, these forms would stretch 28 times around the Earth.

It is past time to reduce this tremendous burden. The American people want, need, and deserve tax relief. I hope that people throughout this Nation will contact their Representatives and encourage them to begin a national debate on how best to create a fairer, simpler tax system for the American people.

LIBERALS VERSUS CONSERVATIVES

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, I often hear from liberals that the labels "liberal" and "conservative" do not mean much anymore. I think that is total nonsense.

One way to distinguish between liberals and conservatives is to look at how a liberal views taxes versus how a conservative does.

A liberal will do everything in his power to make it difficult for others to become rich. A conservative will do everything in his power to help others become rich.

A liberal will vilify the rich. A conservative recognizes the benefits to society that the rich provide and the benefits of having a society where people strive to become rich.

A liberal believes, apparently, that the rich acquire their wealth at the expense of the poor. A conservative knows that Bill Gates and Michael Jordan achieve riches because they produce things that other people value.

Our choice is to put obstacles in the way of those striving to become rich, or take away people's incentive to pursue that same course.

For this American holder of public office who is proud to call himself a conservative, it is not a difficult choice.

SMALL BUSINESS PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

(Mrs. LINDA SMITH of Washington asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. LINDA SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I am often asked what is the great secret in Washington State's success. Yes, we have beautiful natural wonders and thriving high-tech industries, and we are a great place to come and visit. Well, I want to tell my colleagues, even though we are beautiful in Washington State, it is really the people.

Today, I want to tell my colleagues about the people in Washington State and what makes our thriving economy grow: small business owners. Mr. Speaker, 63 percent of all businesses in Washington are operated by sole proprietors and 97 percent have less than 100 employees. These men and women provide nearly 60 percent of all jobs in the State, and lead the way in new job creation. They are the leaders in our community.

However, each year, massive amounts of paperwork are stifling their potential, job growth and productivity. For firms with fewer than 20 employees, these firms are paying \$2,000 per year per employee that could go into salaries, jobs and others new sources of income for the communities.

Today, I am proud to cosponsor the Small Business Paperwork Reduction Act, H.R. 3310, and I will be proud to vote for it this afternoon.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOLEY). Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5 of rule I, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is objected to under clause 4 of rule XV.

Such rollcall votes, if postponed, will be taken after debate has concluded on all motions to suspend the rules, but not before 5 p.m. today.

TRAFFIC STOPS STATISTICS STUDY ACT OF 1998

Mr. HYDE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 118) to provide for the collection of data on traffic stops, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 118

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Traffic Stops Statistics Study Act of 1998'

SEC. 2. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO COLLECT.

The Attorney General shall conduct a study of stops for routine traffic violations by law enforcement officers. Such study shall include collection and analysis of appropriate available data. The study shall include consideration of the following factors, among others:

(1) The number of individuals stopped for routine traffic violations.

(2) Identifying characteristics of the individual stopped, including the race and or ethnicity as well as the approximate age of that individ-

(3) The traffic infraction alleged to have been committed that led to the stop.

(4) Whether a search was instituted as a result of the stop.

(5) How the search was instituted.

(6) The rationale for the search.

(7) Whether any contraband was discovered in the course of the search.

(8) The nature of such contraband.

(9) Whether any warning or citation was issued as a result of the stop.

(10) Whether an arrest was made as a result of

either the stop or the search.

(11) The benefit of traffic stops with regard to the interdiction of drugs and the proceeds of drug trafficking, including the approximate quantity of drugs and value of drug proceeds seized on an annual basis as a result of routine traffic stops.

SEC. 3. LIMITATION ON USE OF DATA.

Data acquired under this section shall be used only for research or statistical purposes and may not contain any information that may reveal the identity of any individual who is stopped or any law enforcement officer. Data acquired under this section shall not be used in any legal or administrative proceeding to establish an inference of discrimination on the basis of particular identifying characteristics.

SEC. 4. RESULTS OF STUDY.

Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall report the results of the study conducted under this Act to Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE).

Mr. HYDE. Mr. Speaker, I yield my-

self such time as I may consume.
Mr. Speaker, H.R. 118, the Traffic Stops Statistics Act of 1997, was introduced by the ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CON-YERS). This bill has bipartisan support and the support of the Department of Justice. H.R. 118 will authorize the Attorney General to conduct a study of the reasons why police make routine traffic stops.

Racial profiling is a law enforcement method that uses race, age, dress, vehi-

cle type, and other factors to identify people who police believe are more likely to be involved in crimes.

Profiling is often used to stop those suspected of crimes without any indicia of criminal activity. However, there is a growing number of reported incidents and allegations that black American males are being stopped for no reason. They are merely stopped, not given tickets, not given citations.

The fourth amendment provides, "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated.' Traffic stops based solely on race are wrong and must not be tolerated.

The study will provide for the collection of data that will help determine whether police are using race as the predominant reason to stop motorists of color. The study will include consideration of such factors as the race and age of the individual stopped; the traffic infraction alleged to have been committed that led to the stop, if any; whether a search was instituted; the rationale for the search; whether contraband was discovered during the search; whether any warning or citation was issued as a result of the stop; and whether an arrest was made as a result of the stop or search.

The study will also report on the beneficial efforts of law enforcement departments to fight the war on drugs by recording the approximate quantity of the drugs and the value of drug proceeds seized on an annual basis as a result of traffic stops. The Department of Justice will submit the results of the 2year study to Congress.

Mr. Speaker, this is a good bill, and I am pleased to support it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

(Mr. CONYERS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to endorse the remarks made by the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE), the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary, about the Traffic Stops Statistics Study Act. I am deeply indebted to him for moving this bill from the committee to the full House.

This is an offense and an activity that is very familiar to many people. It is something that has happened to more African Americans, particularly males, than I would care to admit today on the floor of the House of Representatives. There are very few of us in this country who have not been stopped at one time for an alleged traffic violation that we constituted really simple racial harassment.

Mr. Speaker, I say this as a friend of law enforcement, as one who has always received the support and has worked closely with police organizations across the country for many years. Law enforcement officers may admit to isolated instances of racially